UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/571,860	11/06/2007	Albert Jos, J.M. Van Breemen	TNO-11(P66377US00)	6969
	7590 03/05/201 N & ASSOCIATES	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 8489			FRECH, KARL D	
RED BANK, NJ 07701-8489			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2887	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/05/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comment	10/571,860	VAN BREEMEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Karl D. Frech	2887				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
·—	/					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayre</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 455 C.G. 215.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7 and 8</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6 and 9</u> is/are objected to.						
· <u> </u>	· <u> </u>					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 14 March 2006 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te				

Application/Control Number: 10/571,860

Art Unit: 2887

1. Claims 6 and 9 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim can not depend upon another multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 6 and 9 have not been further treated on the merits.

Page 2

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 1,3,4,5,7,8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cooper 2002/003169 in view of Aoki 2003/0048536. Cooper discloses a card having a plastic substrate 3, an LCD display, a power source 6 including an electricity storage cell (i.e. battery) and an infrared emitter 7 (invisible light source) [0016]. It is disclosed that the card may be an identification card [0018]. Cooper does not disclose that the infrared emitter is an organic FLED. Aoki discloses an organic FLED on a card

for optical communication [0026],[0035],[0046]. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to replace the infrared emitter of Cooper with the FLED of Aoki in order to provide a low power optical source.

Page 3

5. Claim 2 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cooper 2002/003169 and Aoki 2003/0048536 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Dubok, Jr et al 7,071,907. Cooper and Aoki disclose that which is seen above. Cooper and Aoki do not disclose the FLED is a polymer FLED. Dubok, Jr discloses a polymer FLED (col 10 lines 8-10). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a polymer FLED as taught by Dubok, Jr in the combined invention of Cooper and Aoki. The specific choice of polymer or organic FLED is a matter of engineering design. One would choose polymer or organic due to the most readily available.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl D. Frech whose email address is karl.frech@uspto.gov. If attempts to reach the examiner by email are unsuccessful, the examiner's telephone number is (571) 272-2390. The examiner can normally be reached on maxi-flex.

If ALL attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Paik can be reached on (571) 272-2404. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/571,860 Page 4

Art Unit: 2887

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Karl D Frech/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2887

6.